

The Physical Implications of Multidimensional Geometries and Measurement

Elizabeth A. Rauscher* and Richard L. Amoroso¶

**Tecnic Research Labs, 3500 S. Tomahawk Rd, Bldg. 188, Apache Junction, AZ 85219 USA*

Email: Noetic.Advanced.Studies@mindspring.com

¶*Noetic Advanced Studies Institute, 120 Village Square MS 49, Orinda, CA 94563-2502 USA*

Email: Cerebroscopic@mindspring.com

Abstract. We have developed Non-Abelian gauge groups for real and complex amended Maxwell's equations in a complex 8-Dimensional Minkowski space to describe nonlocality in quantum theory and relativity which has quantum gravitational implications. We demonstrate a mapping between the twistor algebra of the complex 8-space and the spinor calculus of 5D Kaluza-Klein geometry which attempts to unify Gravitational and EM theory. Our quantum formalism demonstrates that solving the Schrödinger equation in a complex 8D geometry yields coherent collective state phenomena with soliton wave solutions. The model shows that standard quantum theory is a linear approximation to a higher Dimensional complex space. Through this formalism we can assess that complex systems can be defined within conventional quantum theory as long as we express that theory in a hyper-geometric space. We utilize our complex dimensional geometry to formulate nonlocal correlated phenomena, including the quantum description of the 1935 EPR paradox formulated with Bell's theorem. Tests by Clauser, Aspect, Gisin have demonstrated that particles emitted with approximate simultaneity at c remain correlated nonlocally over meter and kilometer distances. As Stapp has said, Bell's theorem and its experimental verification is one of the most profound discoveries of the 20th century. We will demonstrate the application of our formalism for complex systems and review the history of our model from 1974.

Keywords: Bell's Theorem, Complex Geometry, Gauge Theory, Maxwell's Equations.

1. Introduction

We have analyzed, calculated and extended the modification of Maxwell's equations in a complex Minkowski metric, M_4 in a C_2 space using the SU_2 gauge, $SL(2,c)$ and other gauge groups, such as SU_n for $n > 2$ expanding the U_1 gauge theories of Weyl. This work yields additional predictions beyond the electroweak unification scheme. Some of these are: 1) modified gauge invariant conditions, 2) short range non-Abelian force terms and Abelian long range force terms in Maxwell's equations, 3) finite but small rest mass of the photon, and 4) a magnetic monopole like term and 5) longitudinal as well as transverse magnetic and electromagnetic field components in a complex Minkowski metric M_4 in a C_2 space.

International Journal of Computing Anticipatory Systems, Volume 19, 2006

Edited by D. M. Dubois, CHAOS, Liège, Belgium, ISSN 1373-5411 ISBN 2-930396-05-9

This is an 8D complex Minkowski space $M_4(1)$ composed of four real and four imaginary dimensions consistent with Lorentz invariance and analytic continuation in the complex plane [1]. The unique feature of this geometry is that it admits nonlocality consistent with Bell's theorem, (EPR paradox), possibly Young's double slit experiment, the Aharonov – Bohm effect and multi mirrored interferometric experiment.

Additionally, expressing Maxwell's electromagnetic equations in complex eight space, leads to some new and interesting predictions in physics, including possible detailed explanation of some of the previously mentioned nonlocality experiments [2]. Complexification of Maxwell's equations require a non-Abelian gauge group which amend the usual theory, which utilizes the usual unimodular Weyl U_1 group. We have examined the modification of gauge conditions using higher symmetry groups such as SU_2 , SU_n and other groups such as the $SL(2,c)$ double cover group of the rotational group $SO(3,1)$ related to Shipov's Ricci curvature tensor [3] and a possible neo-aether picture. Thus we are led to new and interesting physics involving extended metrical space constraints, the usual transverse and also longitudinal, non Hertzian electric and magnetic field solutions to Maxwell's equations, possibly leading to new communication systems and antennae theory, non zero solutions to $\nabla \cdot \underline{B}$, and a possible finite but small rest mass of the photon.

Comparison of our theoretical approach is made to the work of J. P. Vigiér, [4] T.W. Barrett [5] and H.F. Harmuth's [6] work on amended Maxwell's theory. We compare our predictions such as our longitudinal field to the $B^{(3)}$ term of Vigiér, and our Non-Abelian gauge groups to that of Barrett and Harmuth. This author interprets this work as leading to new and interesting physics, including a possible reinterpretation of a neo-aether with nonlocal information transmission properties.

2. Complexified EM Fields In M_4 Minkowski Space And Nonlocality

We expand the usual line element metric $ds^2 = g_{\nu\mu} dx^\nu dx^\mu$ in the following manner. We consider a complex eight dimensional space, M_4 constructed so that $Z^\mu = x_{Re}^\mu + i x_{Im}^\mu$ and likewise for Z^ν where the indices ν and μ run 1 to 4 yielding (1, 1, 1, -1). Hence, we now have a new complex eight space metric as $ds^2 = \eta_{\nu\mu} dZ^\nu dZ^\mu$.

We have developed this space and other extended complex spaces (1) and examined their relationship with the twister algebras and asymptotic twister space and the spinor calculus and other implications of the theory [7]. The Penrose twister $SU(2,2)$ or U_4 is constructed from four space – time, $U_2 \otimes \tilde{U}_2$ where U_2 is the real part of the space and \tilde{U}_2 is the imaginary part of the space, this metric appears to be a fruitful area to explore.

The twister Z can be a pair of spinors U^A and π_A which are said to represent the twister. The condition for these representations are 1) the null infinity condition for a zero spin field is $Z^\mu \bar{Z}_\mu = 0$, 2) conformal invariance and 3) independence of the

origin. The twister is derived from the imaginary part of the spinor field. The underlying concept of twister theory is that of conformally invariance fields occupy a fundamental role in physics and may yield some new physics. Since the twister algebra falls naturally out complex space.

Other researcher have examined complex dimensional Minkowski spaces. In reference [10], Newman demonstrates that M_4 space do not generate any major "weird physics" or anomalous physics predictions and is consistent with an expanded or amended special and general relativity. In fact the Kerr metric falls naturally out of this formalism as demonstrated by Newman [11].

As we know twistors and spinors are related by the general Lorentz conditions in such a manner that all signals are luminal in the usual four N Minkowski space but this does not preclude super or trans luminal signals in spaces where $N > 4$. Stapp, for example, has interpreted the Bell's theorem experimental results in terms of trans luminal signals to address the nonlocality issue of the Clauser, et. al and Aspect experiments. C.N. Kozameh and E.T. Newman demonstrate the role of non local fields in complex eight space [16].

We believe that there are some very interesting properties of the M_4 space which include the nonlocality properties of the metric applicable in the non-Abelian algebras related to the quantum theory and the conformal invariance in relativity as well as new properties of Maxwell's equations. In addition, complexification of Maxwell's equations in M_4 space yields some interesting predictions, yet we find the usual conditions on the manifold hold [2,8]. Some of these new predictions come out of the complexification of four space 2 and appear to relate to the work of Vigier, Barrett, Harmuth and others [4,5,6]. Also we find that the twister algebra of the complex eight dimensional, M_4 space is mapable 1 to 1 with the twister algebra, C_2 space of the Kaluza-Klein five dimensional electromagnetic - gravitational metric [17, 18].

Some of the predictions of the complexified form of Maxwell's equations are 1) a finite but small rest mass of the photon, 2) a possible magnetic monopole, $\nabla \cdot \underline{\beta} \neq 0$, 3) transverse as well as longitudinal B(3) like components of \underline{E} and \underline{B} , 4) new extended gauge invariance conditions to include non-Abelian algebras and 5) an inherent fundamental nonlocality property on the manifold. Vigier also explores longitudinal \underline{E} and \underline{B} components in detail and finite rest mass of the photon [19].

We consider both the electric and magnetic fields to be complexified as $\underline{E} = \underline{E}_{Re} + i\underline{E}_{Im}$ and $\underline{B} = \underline{B}_{Re} + i\underline{B}_{Im}$ for E_{Re}, E_{Im}, B_{Re} and B_{Im} are real quantities. Then substitution of these two equations into the complex form of Maxwell's equations above yields, upon separation of real and imaginary parts, two sets of Maxwell-like equations. The first set is

$$\nabla \cdot \underline{E}_{Re} = 4\pi\rho_e, \quad \nabla \times \underline{E}_{Re} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \underline{B}_{Re}}{\partial t}; \quad \nabla \cdot \underline{B}_{Re} = 0, \quad \nabla \times \underline{B}_{Re} = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \underline{E}_{Re}}{\partial t} = \underline{J}_e \quad (1)$$

the second set is

$$\nabla \cdot (i\underline{B}_{lm}) = 4\pi i\rho_m, \nabla \times (i\underline{B}_{lm}) = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial (i\underline{E}_{lm})}{\partial t}; \nabla \cdot (i\underline{E}_{lm}) = 0, \nabla \times (i\underline{E}) = \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial (i\underline{B}_{lm})}{\partial t} = i\underline{J}_m \quad (2)$$

The real part of the electric and magnetic fields yield the usual Maxwell's equations and complex parts generate "mirror" equations; for example, the divergence of the real component of the magnetic field is zero, but the divergence of the imaginary part of the electric field is zero, and so forth. The structure of the real and imaginary parts of the fields is parallel with the electric real components being substituted by the imaginary part of the magnetic fields and the real part of the magnetic field being substituted by the imaginary part of the electric field.

In the second set of equations, (2), the i 's, "go out" so that the quantities in the equations are real, hence $\nabla \cdot \underline{B}_{lm} = 4\pi\rho_m$, and not zero, yielding a term that may be associated with some classes of monopole theories. See references in ref. [2].

We express the charge density and current density as complex quantities based on the separation of Maxwell's equations above. Then, in generalized form $\rho = \rho_e = i\rho_m$ and $J = J_e + iJ_m$ where it may be possible to associate the imaginary complex charge with the magnetic monopole and conversely the electric current has an associated imaginary magnetic current.

The alternate of defining and using, which Evans does $\underline{E} = \underline{E}_{Re} + i\underline{B}_{Im}$ and $\underline{B} = \underline{B}_{Re} + i\underline{E}_{Im}$ would not yield a description of the magnetic monopole in terms of complex quantities but would yield, for example $\nabla \cdot (i\underline{B}_{lm}) = 0$ in the second set of equations.

Using the invariance of the line element $s^2 = x^2 - c^2t^2$ for $r = ct = \sqrt{x^2}$ and for $x^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ for the distance from an electron charge, we can write the relation,

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial (i\underline{B}_{lm})}{\partial t} = i\underline{J}_m \text{ or } \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \underline{B}_{lm}}{\partial t} = \underline{J}_m; \nabla \times (i\underline{E}_{lm}) = 0 \text{ for } \underline{E}_{lm} = 0 \text{ or } \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial (i\underline{B}_{lm})}{\partial t} = i\underline{J}_m \quad (3)$$

3. New Gauge Conditions, Complex Minkowski M_4 Space & Implications For Physics

In a series of papers, Barrett, Harmuth and Rauscher have examined the modification of gauge conditions in modified or amended Maxwell theory. The Rauscher approach, as briefly explained in the preceding section is to write complexified Maxwell's equation in consistent form to complex Minkowski space [2].

The T.W. Barrett amended Maxwell theory utilizes non-Abelian algebras and leads to some very interesting predictions which have interested me for some years. He utilizes the non commutative SU_2 gauge symmetry rather than the U_1 symmetry. Although the Glashow electroweak theory utilizes U_1 and SU_2 , but in a different manner, but his theory does not lead to the interesting and unique predictions of the Barrett theory.

T.W. Barrett, in his amended Maxwell theory, predicts that the velocity of the propagation of signals is not the velocity of light. He presents the magnetic monopole

concept resulting from the amended Maxwell picture. His motive goes beyond standard Maxwell formalism and generate new physics utilizing a non-Abelian gauge theory.[5]

The SU_2 group gives us symmetry breaking to the U_1 group which can act to create a mass splitting symmetry that yield a photon of finite (but necessarily small) rest mass which may be created as self energy produced by the existence of the vacuumⁱ. This finite rest mass photon can constitute a propagation signal carrier less than the velocity of light.

We can construct the generators of the SU_2 algebra in terms of the fields \underline{E} , \underline{B} , and \underline{A} . The usual potentials, A_μ is the important four vector quality $A_\mu = (\underline{A}, \phi)$ where the index runs 1 to 4. One of the major purposes of introducing the vector and scalar potentials and also to subscribe to their physicality is the desire by physicists to avoid action at a distance. In fact in gauge theories A_μ is all there is! Yet, it appears that, in fact, these potentials yield a basis for a fundamental nonlocality!

Let us address the specific case of the SU_2 group and consider the elements of a non-Abelian algebra such as the fields with SU_2 (or even SU_n) symmetry then we have the commutation relations where $XY - YX \neq 0$ or $[X, Y] \neq 0$. Which is reminiscent of the Heisenberg uncertainty principle non-Abelian gauge.ⁱⁱ Barrett does explain that SU_2 fields can be transformed into U_1 fields by symmetry breaking. For the SU_2 gauge amended Maxwell theory additional terms appear in term of operations such $A \cdot E$, $A \cdot B$ and $A \times B$ and their non Abelian converses. For example $\nabla \cdot B$ no longer equals zero but is given as $\nabla \cdot B = -jg(A \cdot B - B \cdot A) \neq 0$ where $[A, B] \neq 0$ for the dot product of A and B and hence we have a magnetic monopole term and j is the current and g is a constant. Also Barrett gives references to the Dirac, Schwinger and G. t Hooft monopole work. Further commentary on the SU_2 gauge conjecture of H.F. Mamuth [6] that under symmetry breaking, electric charge is considered but magnetic charges are not. Barrett further states that the symmetry breaking conditions chosen are to be determined by the physics of the problem. These non Abelian algebras have consistence to quantum theory.

In our analysis, using the SU_2 group there is the automatic introduction of short range forces in addition to the long range force of the U_1 group. U_1 is one dimensional and Abelian and SU_2 is three dimensional and is non-Abelian. U_1 is also a subgroup of SU_2 . The U_1 group is associated with the long range $1/r^2$ force and SU_2 , such as for its application to the weak force yields short range associated fields. Also SU_2 is a subgroup of the useful $SL(2, c)$ group of non compact operations on the manifold. $SL(2, c)$ is a semi simple four dimensional Lie group and is a spinor group relevant to the relativistic formalism and is isomorphic to the connected Lorentz group associated with the Lorentz transformations. It is a conjugate group to the SU_2 group and contains an inverse. The double cover group of SU_2 is $SL(2, c)$ where $SL(2, c)$ is a complexification of SU_2 . Also $SL(2, c)$ is the double cover group of SU_3 related to the set of rotations in three dimensional space [3]. Topologically, SU_2 is associated with isomorphic to the three dimensional spherical, O_3^+ (or three

dimensional rotations) and U_1 is associated with the O_2 group of rotations in two dimensions. The ratio of Abelian to non Abelian components, moving from U_1 to SU_2 , gauge is 1 to 2 so that the short range components are twice as many as the long range components.

Instead of using the SU_2 gauge condition we use $SL(2, c)$ we have a non-Abelian gauge and hence quantum theory and since this group is a spinor and is the double cover group of the Lorentz group (for spin $1/2$) we have the conditions for a relativistic formalism. The Barrett formalism is non-relativistic. $SL(2, c)$ is the double cover group of SU_2 but utilizing a similar approach using twister algebras yields relativistic physics.

It appears that complex geometry can yield a new complementary unification of quantum theory, relativity and allow a domain of action for nonlocality phenomena, such as displayed in the results of the Bell's theorem tests of the EPR paradox [23], and in which the principles of the quantum theory hold to be universally. The properties of the nonlocal connections in complex four space may be mediated by non -or low dispersive loss solutions. We solved Schrödinger equation in complex Minkowski space [24].

In progress is research involving other extended gauge theory models, with particular interest in the nonlocality properties on the S pact-time manifold, quantum properties such as expressed in the EPR paradox and coherent states in matter.

Utilizing Coxeter graphs or Dynkin diagrams, Sirag lays out a comprehensive program in terms of the A_n , D_n and E_6 , E_7 and E_8 Lie algebras constructing a hyper dimensional geometry for as a classification scheme for elementary particles. Inherently, this theory utilizes complexified spaces involving twistors and Kaluza-Klein geometries. This space incorporates the string theory and GUT models [21].

4. The Complex Vector Potential, Advanced Potentials and Bell's Inequality

The issue of whether Bell's theorem and other remote connectedness phenomena, such as Young's double slit experiment, demands superluminal or space-like signals or prior luminal signals is an area of hot debate [31]. Also, the issue of advanced vs. retarded potentials is of interest in this regard.

Using the complex model of A^μ we will examine the issue of the non-locality of Bell's theorem as quantum mechanical "transactions" providing a microscopic communication path between detectors across space-like intervals, which violate the EPR locality postulate [19]. This picture appears to be consistent with the remote connectedness properties of complex Minkowski space. Also there are implications for macroscopic communications channels; another area of hot debate. Detailed discussions of Bell's theorem are given in [3,6].

We will formulate fields in terms of A or $A = (A^j, \phi)$ where A^j is \underline{A} rather than the tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ or \underline{E} or \underline{B} . We can proceed from the continuity

equation $\nabla \cdot J + \partial \rho / \partial t = 0$ and the expression $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial A_\nu / \partial X_\mu - \partial A_\mu / \partial X_\nu$. For the usual restored potentials then, we have the Lorentz condition

$$\nabla \cdot A + \mu \varepsilon \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \text{and also} \quad \nabla^2 A - \mu \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial t^2} = -\mu J \quad (4)$$

We can also derive
$$\nabla^2 \phi - \mu \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rho \quad (5)$$

These equations possess a restored potential solution. The radiation field in quantum electrodynamics is usually quantized in terms of (A, ϕ) .

[We can also convert back to the \underline{E} and \underline{B} fields using $E = -\nabla \phi - \partial A / \partial t$ and $B = \nabla \times A$].

Quantization of the field consists of regarding the coordinates (x, k) or (q, p) as quantum mechanical coordinates of a set of equivalent harmonic oscillators [X]. In the second quantized method treating k_r, q_r and A_r as quantum numbers then we have quantized allowable energy levels such as $W = \sum_r (n_r + \eta_2) \hbar \omega_r$. Solutions are

given in the form
$$\Psi \propto \sum_{n_r} e^{-iW(n_r)/\hbar} \quad (6)$$

and we have a Hamiltonian equation of motion

$$\dot{p}_{ab} + (ck)^2 q_{ab} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \dot{q}_{ab} = p_{ab} \quad \text{and} \quad \square = \frac{1}{2} \sum [p_{ab}^2 + (ck)^2 q_{ab}^2]. \quad (7)$$

The electromagnetic field energy of the volume integral $(E^2 + B^2) / 8\pi$ is just equal to the Hamiltonian.

We can examine such things as absorption and polarization in terms of the complexification of \underline{E} and \underline{B} or \underline{A} and ϕ . We define the usual $D = \varepsilon E$ (or displacement field) and $B = \mu H$ for a homogeneous isotropic media. If we introduce p_0 and m_0 as independent of \underline{E} and \underline{H} where the induced polarizations of the media are absorbed into the parameters ε and μ , we have

$$D = \varepsilon E + p_0 \quad \text{and} \quad H = \frac{1}{\mu} B - m_0 \quad (8)$$

Then we define a complex field as
$$\underline{Q} \equiv \underline{B} + i\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} \underline{E} \quad (9)$$

so that we have Maxwell's equations now written as

$$\nabla \times \underline{Q} + i\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu} \frac{\partial \underline{Q}}{\partial t} = \mu J \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \cdot \underline{Q} = i\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \rho. \quad (10)$$

Using vector identities [33] and resolving into real and imaginary parts, we have

$$\nabla^2 H - \varepsilon\mu \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial t^2} = -\nabla \times J \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 E - \varepsilon\mu \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2} = \mu \frac{\partial J}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \rho \quad (11)$$

We define Q in terms of the complex vector potential that $A_{\text{Re}} \rightarrow L_{\text{complex}}$ and $\phi_{\text{Re}} \rightarrow \phi_{\text{complex}}$. Then

$$Q = \nabla \times L - i\sqrt{\epsilon\mu} \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} - i\sqrt{\epsilon\mu} \nabla \phi \quad (12)$$

subject to the condition similar to before, $\nabla \cdot \underline{L} + \epsilon\mu \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = 0$. Then we have

$$\nabla^2 L - \epsilon\mu \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial t^2} = -\mu J \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla^2 \phi - \epsilon\mu \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \rho \quad (13)$$

Separation into real and imaginary parts of these potentials, L and ϕ can be written as

$$L = A_{\text{Re}} - i\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} A_{\text{Im}} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi = \phi_{\text{Re}} - i\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} \phi_{\text{Im}} \quad (14)$$

Upon substitution into the equation for Q and separation into real and imaginary parts we have

$$\underline{B}_{\text{Re}} = \nabla \times \underline{A}_{\text{Re}} - \frac{\mu \hat{c} \underline{A}_{\text{Im}}}{\partial t} - \mu \nabla \phi_{\text{Im}}; \quad \underline{E}_{\text{Re}} = -\nabla \phi_{\text{Re}} - \frac{\partial \underline{A}_{\text{Re}}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{c} \nabla \times \underline{A}_{\text{Im}} \quad (15)$$

The usual equations are allowed when A_{Im} and ϕ_{Im} are taken as zero.

If free currents and charges are everywhere zero in the region under consideration, then we have

$$\nabla \times Q + i\sqrt{\epsilon\mu} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} = 0; \quad \nabla Q = 0 \quad (16)$$

and we can express the field in terms of a single complex Hertzian vector $\underline{\Gamma}$ as the solution of

$$\nabla^2 \underline{\Gamma} - \epsilon\mu \frac{\partial^2 \underline{\Gamma}}{\partial t^2} = 0 \quad (17)$$

We can define $\underline{\Gamma}$ by $\underline{\Gamma} \equiv \pi_{\text{Re}} - i\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} \pi_{\text{Im}}$ (18)

where $\phi_{\text{Re}} = -\nabla \cdot \underline{\pi}$ and we can write such expressions as

$$A_{\text{Im}} = \mu\epsilon \frac{\partial \pi_{\text{Im}}}{\partial t} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{\text{Im}} = \nabla \cdot \underline{\pi}_{\text{Im}} \quad (19)$$

This formalism works for a dielectric media but if the media is conducting the field equations is no longer symmetric then the method fails. Symmetry can be maintained by introducing a complex induced capacity $\epsilon' = \epsilon_{\text{Re}} \pm i \frac{\sigma_{\text{Im}}}{\omega}$. The vector B is in a

solenoid charge-free region; this method works. Calculation of states of polarization by the complex method demonstrates its usefulness and validity. Also, absorption can be considered in terms of complex fields. We will apply this method to solutions that can be described as restored and advanced and may explain Bell's theorem of non-locality. Linear and circular polarization can be expressed in terms of complex vectors $A = A_{\text{Re}} + iA_{\text{Im}}$. The light quanta undergoing this polarization is given

as $\hbar\omega\hat{n} = \hbar\sigma = \hbar k$. Complex unit vectors are introduced so that real and imaginary components are considered orthogonal. We have a form such as $A = (A \cdot \hat{\ell}_{\text{Im}})\hat{\ell}_{\text{Re}} + (A \cdot \hat{j}_{\text{Im}})\hat{j}_{\text{Re}}$. The linearly polarized wave at angle θ is

$$A = \frac{A}{\sqrt{2}}(\ell_{\text{Re}} e^{-i\theta} - ij_{\text{Re}} e^{i\theta}). \quad (20)$$

Now let us consider use of this polarization formalism to describe the polarization-detection process in the calcium source photon experiment of J. Clauser et al [34].

Let us first look at solutions to the field equations for time-like and space-like events. The non-locality of Bell's theorem appears to be related to the remote connected-ness of the complex geometry and the stability of the soliton over space and time.

We will consider periodically varying fields which move along the x-axis. For source-free space, we can write

$$c^2 \nabla^2 \underline{F} = \phi^2 \frac{\underline{F}}{\phi t^2} \quad (21)$$

where \underline{F} represents either \underline{E} or \underline{B} . The two independent solutions for this equation are [35]

$$\underline{E}_{\pm}(x, t) = E_0 \sin(2\pi kx \pm \nu t) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{B}_{\pm}(x, t) = B_0 \sin 2\pi(kx \pm \nu t) \quad (22)$$

and k is the wave number and ν the frequency of the wave. The ∇ sign refers to the two independent solutions to the above second order equation in space and time. The wave corresponding to E_+ and B_+ will exist only when $t < 0$ (past lightcone) and the wave corresponding to E_- and B_- will exist for $t > 0$ (future lightcone). Then the E_- wave arrives at a point x in a time t after emission, while E_+ wave arrive at x in time, t before emission (like a tachyon).

Using Maxwell's equations for one spatial dimension, x , and the Poynting vector which indicates the direction of energy and momentum flow of the electromagnetic wave, we find that E_+ and B_+ correspond to a wave emitted in the $+x$ direction but with energy flowing in the $-x$ direction. For example, $E_+(x, t)$ is a negatives-energy and negative-frequency solution. The wave signal will arrive $t = x/c$ before it is emitted, and is termed an advanced wave. The solution $E_-(x, t)$ is the normal positive-energy solution and arrives at x in time, $t = x/c$, after the instant of emission and is called the retarded potential, which is the usual potential.

The negative energy solutions can be interpreted in the quantum picture in quantum electrodynamics as virtual quantum states such as vacuum states in the Fermi-sea model [15,28,29]. "These virtual states are not fully realizable as a single real state but can definitely effect real physical processes to a significant testable extent [15]. The causality conditions in S-matrix theory, as expressed by analytic continuation in the complex plane, relate real and virtual states [28,29]. Virtual states can operate as a polarizable media leading to modification of real physical states. In fact, coherent collective excitations of a real media can be explained through the operations in a underlying virtual media [15].

Four solutions emerge: Two retarded (F_1 and F_2) connecting processes in the forward light cone and two advanced, (F_3 and F_4) connecting processes in the backward slight cone.

These four solutions are

$$F_1 = F_0 e^{-i(-kx - \omega t)}, \quad F_2 = F_0 e^{i(kx - \omega t)}; \quad F_3 = F_0 e^{i(-kx + \omega t)}, \quad F_4 = F_0 e^{-i(kx + \omega t)} \quad (23)$$

where F_1 is for a wave moving in the $(-x, +t)$ direction, F_2 is for a $(+x, +t)$ moving wave, F_3 is for a $(-x, -t)$ moving wave, and F_4 is a $(+x, -t)$ moving wave. F_1 and F_4 are complex conjugates of each other and F_2 and F_3 , are complex conjugates of each other, so that $F_1^+ = F_4$ and $F_2^+ = F_3$. Then the usual solutions to Maxwell's equations are retarded plane wave solutions.

The proper formulation of non-local correlations, which appear to come out of complex geometries may provide a conceptual framework for a number of quantum mechanical paradoxes and appear to be explained by Bell's nonlocality, Young's double slit experiment, the Schrödinger cat paradox [36], superconductivity, superfluidity, and plasma "instabilities" including J.A. Wheeler's "delayed choice experiment" [37]. Interpretation of these phenomena is made in terms of their implications about the lack of locality and the decomposition of the wave function which arises from the action of advanced waves which "verify" the quantum-mechanical transactions or communications.

J.G.Cramer [38] has demonstrated that the communication path between detectors in the Bell inequality experiments can be represented by space-like intervals and produce the quantum mechanical result. By the addition of two time-like four vectors having time components of opposite signs which demonstrate the locality violations of Bell's theorem and is consistent with the Clauser, Fry and Aspect experimental results [32]. This model essentially is an "action-at-a-distance" formalism.

One can think of the emitter (in Bell's or Young's quantum condition) as sending out a pilot or probe "wave" in various allowed directions to seek a "transaction" or collapse of the wave function. A receiver or absorber detects or senses one of these probe waves, "sets its state" and sends a "verifying wave" back to the emitter confirming the transaction and arranging for the transfer of actual energy and momentum. This process comprises the non-local collapse of the wave function [34, 39]. The question now becomes: does such a principle have macroscopic effects? Bell's non-locality theorem can can be effective over a matter of distance.

An attempt to examine such a possible macroscopic effect over large distances has been made by R.B. Partridge [40]. Using 9.7 GHz microwave transmitted by a conical horn antenna so that waves were beamed in various directions. Partridge found that there was little evidence for decreased emission intensities in any direction for an accuracy of a few parts per 10^{9th} . Interpretation of such a process is made in terms of advanced potentials. Previously mentioned complex dimensional geometries

give rise to solutions of equations that form subluminal and superluminal signal propagations or solitons.

The possibility of a remote transmitter-absorber communicator now appears to be a possibility. The key to this end is an experiment by R.L. Pfliegov and L. Mandel [41]. Interference effects have been demonstrated, according to the authors, in the superposition of two light beams from two independent lasers. Intensity is kept so low that, to high probability, one photon is absorbed before the next one is emitted. The analogy to Young's double slit experiment is enormous [14].

In J.A. Wheeler's recent paper, he presents a detailed discussion of the physics of delayed choice proton interference and the double slit experiment (from the Solvay conference, Bohr-Einstein dialogue). Wheeler discusses the so-called D. Bohm "hidden variables" as a possible determinant that nonlocality collapses the wave function [42].

It is clear that further theoretical and experimental investigation is indicated but there appears to be a vast potential for remote non-local communication and perhaps even energy transfer.

In the next section we detail the forms of transformations of the vector and scalar potentials at rest and in moving frames, continuing our formulation in terms of (A, ϕ) . The issues of sub and superluminal transformations of A and ϕ are given in a complex Minkowski space. Both damped and oscillatory solutions are found and conditions for advanced and restored potentials are given.

5. Transformation Laws for Vector and Scalar Potential Under a Superluminal Boost

For simplicity we will consider superluminal boost $v_x = \infty$ along the positive x direction. The space and time vectors in the real four dimensional Minkowski space transform as follows [43]:

$$x' = +t, \quad y' = -iy, \quad z' = iz, \quad t' = x \quad (24)$$

for real and imaginary parts separately, where x, y, z, t are real quantities in the laboratory (S) frame, and x', y', z', t' are the real quantities in the moving (S') frame. Now in the six dimensional (M^6) complex Minkowski space, the above transformation laws for a superluminal boost ($v_x = +\infty$) in the positive x direction become [44]

$$\begin{aligned} x'_{Re} + ix'_{Im} &= t_{x,Re} + it_{x,Im}, & y'_{Re} + iy'_{Im} &= y_{Im} - iy_{Re}, & z'_{Re} + iz'_{Im} &= z_{Im} - iz_{Re}; \\ t'_{x,Re} + it'_{x,Im} &= x_{Re} + ix_{Im}, & t'_{y,Re} + it'_{y,Im} &= t_{y,Im} - it_{y,Re}, & t'_{z,Re} + it'_{z,Im} &= t_{z,Im} - it_{z,Re} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The transformation laws given by (25) preserve the magnitude of the line element but not the sign as in:

$$-x'^{\mu}x'^{\nu} = x^{\mu}x^{\nu} \quad (26)$$

where index μ and ν run over 1,2,3,4 representing 1 as time vector and 2,3,4 as spatial vectors. Therefore we have the signature (+++-). Similar to the

transformation laws for space and time vectors as given by (25) we can write the transformation laws for the vector and scalar potential. For a superluminal boost in positive x direction, the transformation laws for (A, ϕ) are:

$$A'_x = \gamma \left(A_x - \frac{v_x}{c^2} \phi \right), \quad A'_y = A_y, \quad A'_z = A_z, \quad \phi' = \gamma(\phi - v_x A_x) \quad (27)$$

where ϕ is the scalar potential and γ is defined as the usual Lorentz term

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\left(\frac{v_x^2}{c^2} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (28)$$

We consider A'_x , etc., transforming as a gauge. In eq. (27), the vector potential A is considered to be a four-vector real quantity, A_μ or $\underline{A} = (A_x, A_y, A_z, \frac{i\phi}{c})$, which preserves the length of the line element but not the sign, i.e. we have

$$A_\mu A_\mu = -A'_\mu A'_\mu \quad (29)$$

Equation (27) then simplifies to the following relationships for the velocities approaching infinity, $v_x = \infty$.

We can write the transformation laws for scalar and vector potentials under the superluminal boost in the positive x direction for $v_x = +\infty$. From the rest frame, S , to the moving frame, S' , for unaccelerated vector and scalar potentials, we have

$$A_x = -\phi', \quad A_y = A'_y, \quad A_z = A'_z, \quad \phi = -A'_x \quad (30)$$

From the moving frame, S' , to the rest frame, S , for the unaccelerated vector and scalar potentials we obtain

$$A'_x = -\phi, \quad A'_y = A_y, \quad A'_z = A_z, \quad \phi' = -A_x \quad (31)$$

Equation (31) is valid for real or complex vector and scalar potentials. Real and imaginary parts are easily separable in a complex quantity and they will transform according to eq. (31) under the influence of a superluminal boost in the positive x direction. Now if these are the retarded (or accelerated or advanced) vector and scalar potentials then the transformation laws under the superluminal boosts will be different from the ones given by equation (31). These will be given by the combination of equation (31) and the transformation laws of the complex space and time vectors as given by equation (25).

The propagation constant is considered to be isotropic in vacuum and defined as $d_x = \omega/v_\phi$, where v_ϕ , is the phase velocity and ω is the radian frequency of the propagating signal. Usually in most cases the phase velocity of propagation in vacuum is a constant $v_\phi = c$, where c is the velocity of light in vacuum. For the purpose of this paper, we will consider a tachyon traveling faster than light emitting an electromagnetic signal at frequency ω which propagates at the velocity of light. This assumption will simplify the subject matter of this paper. Later on, in a separate

paper, we will examine the faster than light electromagnetic signals emitted by a traveling tachyon which might lead into a Doppler effect at velocities faster than light.

Let us consider the advanced potential solution only from equation (24). Equation (24) can now be rewritten as two separate terms, so that in the S frame,

$$A_x = (A_{0x,Re} + iA_{0x,Im}) \{ e \exp i[\omega t_{x,Re} - kx_{Re}] \times e \exp -[\omega t_{x,Im} - kx_{Im}] \} \quad (32)$$

where the first exponent represents the usual type of oscillatory terms and the second exponent represents a decaying component which is not present in the usual four dimensional spacetime model. Note also that we have used the isotropy of the vector k in equation (32) as examined in the previous section.

Now let us examine the complex exponential of equation (32) using the transformations of equation (24) as follows so that we have for the exponents

$$e \exp i[\omega x'_{Re} - kt'_{x,Re}]; \quad e \exp -[\omega x'_{Im} - kt'_{x,Im}] \quad (33)$$

We regroup terms in ω and k so that we have

$$e \exp i[\omega(x'_{Re} + ix'_{Im}) - k(t'_{x,Re} - it'_{x,Im})] \quad (34)$$

Now using equations from [29,30] for $x' = x'_{Re} + ix'_{Im}$ we have

$$e \exp i[\omega x' - k(t'_{x,Re} - it'_{x,Im})] \quad (35)$$

Note that the second part of the exponent for the k term does not reduce to t' since there is a minus before $it'_{x,Im}$. Thus for the boost $v_x \rightarrow \infty$ or $v > c$, we obtain for $e \exp i[\omega x + kx]$ from equation (24) under this transformation going to

$$e \exp i[\omega x']; \quad e \exp -k[t'_{x,Re} - it'_{x,Im}] \quad (36)$$

Let us look at the example of the transformation from A'_x (in the moving frame S') to its form in the restframe, S . We find a mixing vector and scalar potential.

In the SLT from the restframe S to the moving S' frames we have a change of length of the time component vector in equation (36). The vector potential term A_{0x} transforms as

$$A'_x = \gamma \left(A_x - \frac{v_x}{c^2} \phi \right) \quad (37)$$

which is the same as equation (28), so that for the superluminal boost $v_x \rightarrow \infty$, implies that

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{v_x^2}{c^2} - 1}} = \frac{1}{\frac{v_x}{c} \sqrt{1 - \frac{c^2}{v_x^2}}} \cong \frac{c}{v_x} \quad (38)$$

where the $\sqrt{1 - c^2/v_x^2}$ term approaches unity as $v_x \rightarrow \infty$. Then we rewrite the transformed vector potential as

$$A'_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{v_x^2}{c^2} - 1}}, \quad A_x = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\frac{v_x^2}{c^2} - 1}} \phi \quad (39)$$

Then for $v_x \rightarrow \infty$ and from equations (38) and (39),

$$A'_x = \frac{cA_x}{v_x} - \frac{v_x}{c^2} \frac{c}{v_x} \phi = 0 - \frac{1}{c\phi} \equiv -\phi \quad (40)$$

for units in which $c = 1$. Therefore $A'_x = -\phi$ for a superluminal boost, $v_x \rightarrow \infty$.

For the transformation of the scalar potential, in analogy to equation (28), we have

$$\phi' = \gamma(\phi - v_x A_x) \quad (41)$$

and for $v_x \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\gamma \cong c/v_x$ so that in the limit of the SLT,

$$\phi' \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} = \frac{c}{v_x} \phi - cA_x = -cA_x \quad (42)$$

and for the units of $c = 1$, then $\phi' = -A_x$. Compare this equation to equation (40). Also for $A'_y = A_y$ and $A'_z = A_z$ we can now write

$$A_x = [A_{0x, \text{Re}} + iA_{0x, \text{Im}}] e \exp i[\omega t + kx] = [-\phi'_{\text{Re}} - i\phi'_{\text{Im}}] e \exp i\omega t' \quad e \exp \pm k_x [t_{x, \text{Re}} - it'_{x, \text{Im}}] \quad (43)$$

where $x' = x'_{\text{Re}} + ix'_{\text{Im}}$ and using the result of equation (40) and (42) for the non-exponent part and the exponential term which is given in equation (35), equation (43) gives us the vector and scalar form in the moving S' frame.

If we consider only the accelerated potential, then we consider only the plus sign in equation (43). By use of the definition of complex quantities, equation (43) can be rewritten in a compact, simplified form:

$$A_x = -\phi'_{0x} \exp(i\omega x') \cdot \exp(ik_x t'_x) \quad (44)$$

Then by use of equation (44) we can describe the x component of the complex vector potential in moving frame S' after a superluminal boost in the positive x direction. The same vector potential in the rest frame is defined by equation (167) or (169) from [29,30].

The transformation of the A_y and A_z components of the complex vector potential under a superluminal boost in the positive x direction can similarly be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A_y &= A_{0y} \exp[-\omega(t'_{y, \text{Re}} + it'_{y, \text{Im}})] \cdot \exp[-ky(z'_{\text{Re}} + iy'_{\text{Im}})] \\ &= A_{0z} \exp[-\omega(t'_{z, \text{Re}} + it'_{z, \text{Im}})] \cdot \exp[-ky(z'_{\text{Re}} + iz'_{\text{Im}})] \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

We will now consider the scalar potential as defined by a complex quantity, so that

$$\phi' = \phi'_{\text{Re}} + i\phi'_{\text{Im}} \quad (46)$$

which we use for the non-exponential term of equation (45) which then becomes

$$A_x = -\phi' e \exp i a x' \quad e \exp k [t_{x,Re} - i t_{x,Im}] \quad (47)$$

Let us now compare the vector potential forms of A_x in equation (42) in the S or laboratory frame, and A_x of equation (47) in the S' frame or moving frame. (Table 1.)

TABLE 1. Comparison Of The Exponential Part Of The Vector Potential A_x In The S and S' Frames Of Reference

	OSCILLATORY	DAMPED
S Frame:	$A_{0x} \propto e \exp i [\omega t_{x,Re} - k x_{Re}]$	$e \exp - [\omega t_{x,Im} - k x_{Im}]$
S' Frame:	$\phi' \propto \exp i [a x']$	$e \exp k [t'_{x,Re} - i t'_{x,Im}]$

In the oscillatory solution of the S' frame for ϕ' , we find no dependence on the wave number factor k and hence we have apparent media independence, recalling $x' = x_{Re} + i x_{Im}$, whereas in the S frame for A_{0x} , we have dependence on ω and k .

For the damped solution, we have ω and k dependence in the S frame for A_{0x} , which is a pure real exponential and hence not oscillatory. In the S' frame then, ϕ' sometimes has a damped solution dependent on k which has a real and imaginary component. The exponential factor can be written as

$$t'_{x,Re} - i t'_{x,Im} = x_{Re} - i x_{Im} \quad (48)$$

Time dilation and vector length are modified in the complex twelve dimensional space [44]. We find that a superluminal, unidimensional (x -dimensional) boost in complex Minkowski space not only modifies space and time (as well as mass [27]) by the γ factor, it also modifies $A = (\underline{A}, \phi)$ and we find a mixing of \underline{A} and ϕ for $\underline{A} = A_j$ where j runs 1 to 3 (or spacelike quantities) and ϕ transforms as a temporal quantity for subluminal transformations.

Work is in progress to continue the examination of the forms of transformations of the vector and scalar potentials in sub and superluminal transformations [30].

6. Conclusions

It appears that utilizing the complexification of Maxwell's equations with the extension of the gauge condition to non-Abelian algebras, yields a possible metrical unification of relativity, electromagnetism and quantum theory. This unique new approach yields a universal nonlocality. No radical spurious predictions result from the theory, but some new predictions are made which can be experimentally

examined. Also, this unique approach in terms of the twister algebras may lead to a broader understanding of macro and micro nonlocality and possible transverse electromagnetic fields observed as nonlocality in collective plasma state and other media.

References

1. P. Penrose and E.J. Newman, Proc. Roy. Soc. A363, 445 (1978).
2. E.T. Newman, J. Math. Phys. 14, 774 (1973).
3. R.O. Hansen and E.T. Newman, Gen. Rel. and Grav. 6, 216 (1975).
4. E.T. Newman, Gen. Rel. and Grav. 7, 107 (1976).
5. H.P. Stapp Phys. Rev. A47, 847 (1993) and Private Communication.
6. J.S. Bell, Physics 1, 195 (1964).
7. J.F. Clauser and W.A. Horne Phys. Rev. 10D, 526 (1974) and private communication with J. Clauser 1971 .
8. A. Aspect, et. al. Phys. Rev. 49, 1804 (1982) and private communication.
9. E.T. Newman and E.T. Newman, third MG meeting on Gen. Rel., Ed. Ha Nang, Amsterdam Netherlands, North-Holland, pgs 51-55 (1983).
10. Th. Kaluza, sitz. Berlin Press, A. Kad. Wiss, 968 (1921).
11. O. Klein Z. Phys. 37, 805 (1926) and O. Klein, Z. Phys. 41, 407 (1927).
12. J.P. Vigiier, Found. Of Phys. 21, 125 (1991).
13. M.W. Evans and J.P. Vigiier "the enigmatic photon" 1 and 2 "Non-Abelian Electrodynamics", Kluwer Acad. Dordrecht (1994, 1995, 1996).
14. E.A. Rauscher, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 21, 1305 (1976).
15. E.A. Rauscher, J. Plasma Phys. 2, 517, (1968).
16. T.T. Wu and C.N. Yang, "Concepts of Nonintergreble phase factors and global formulation of gauge fields", Phys. Rev. D12, 3845 (1975).
17. E.A. Rauscher, "D and R Spaces, Lattice Groups and Lie Algebras and their Structure", April 17, 1999.
18. E.A. Rauscher "Soliton Solutions to the Schrödinger Equation in Complex Minkowski Space", pps 89-105, Proceeding of the First International Conference ,
19. A. Einstein, B. Podolsky and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. 47, 777 (1935).
20. E.A.Rauscher, Complex Minkowski Space & Nonlocality on the Metric & Quantum Processes, in progress.
21. S.P. Sirag "A Mathematical Strategy for a Theory of Particles", pps 579-588, The First Tucson Conference, Eds. S.R. Hameroff, A.W. Kasniak and A.C. Scott, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA (1996).
22. T.T. Wu & C.N. Yang, (1975), Phys. Rev. D12, 3845.
23. N. Gisin, Phys. Lett. 143, 1 (1990) .
24. W. Tittel, J. Bredel, H. Zbinden & N. Gisin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3563.
25. E.A. Rauscher, Proc. 1st Int. Conf. , Univ. of Toronto, Ontario, Canada, pp. 89-105, (1981).
26. T.R.Love, Int. J. of Theor. Phys. 32, 63 , (1993).

27. R.L. Amoroso & J-P Vigier, Toward the unification of gravity and electromagnetism, in *Relativity, Gravitation, Cosmology*, Iazov & A. Espinoza (eds.), 71-88, New York: Nova Science.
28. E.A. Rauscher, *Electromagnetic Phenomena in Complex Geometries and Nonlinear Phenomena, Non-Hertzian Waves and Magnetic Monopoles*, Millbrae: Tesla Books (1983)
29. E.A. Rauscher, *Electromagnetic Phenomena in Complex Geometries and Nonlinear Phenomena*, Orinda: Noetic Press (2006)
30. R.L. Amoroso & E.A. Rauscher, *How to Build a Conscious Quantum Computer: The Immanent Era Of Conscious Technologies*, Submitted to Oxford Univ. Press.
31. H. Stapp, *Foundations of Physics*, 9:, 1 (1979).
32. E.A. Rauscher, in *The Iceland Papers: Frontiers of Physics Conf.*, Amherst: Essentia Research Assoc. (1979)
33. T.A. Stratton, *Electromagnetic Theory*, New York: McGraw Hill, (1941).
34. J.F. Clauser & A. Shimoney, *Phys. Prog. Phys.* 41, 1881 (1978)
35. J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, New York: Wiley & Sons, 1975
36. E. Schrodinger, *Naturwissenschaften*, 48, 52, 1935.
37. J.A. Wheeler, *Delayed choice experiments & the Bohr-Einstein dialogue*, Am Phys Soc & Royal Soc., 1980
38. J.G. Cramer, *Phys. Rev.* D22, 362, 1980.
39. A. Aspect, P. Granger & G. Rogier, *Phys Rev Let*, 49, 91. 1982; A. Aspect, *Phys. Rev.* D14, 1944, 1976.
40. R.B. Partridge, *Nature*, 244, 263, (1973)
41. R.L. Pfliegov & L. Mandel, *Phys. Let.* 24A, 766, (1967)
42. J.A. Wheeler, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*, 21, 557, 1982
43. C. Ramon & E.A. Rauscher, *Found. Physics*, 10, 661, 1980.
44. R.L. Amoroso, 2002, *Developing the cosmology of a continuous state universe*, in R.L. Amoroso et al (eds.) *Gravitation & Cosmology: From The Hubble Radius to the Planck Scale*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.